



University of California, Riverside
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Title: Programming Assignment 2

Course: Multiprocessor Architecture and Programming

Mahbod Afarin

Winter 2021

The goal of this project is to improve the performance of the sparse matrix-vector multiplication using OpenMP. There are 4 implementations in the project folder, CSRSeq.c, CSROpenMP.c, CSCSeq.c, and CSCOpenMP.c. You can run each code using the below command. **For running the program, please use the input files in the project because, as it was allowed in piazza, I changed the format of the input files from .rtf format to .text format.**

```
/usr/local/opt/llvm/bin/clang -fopenmp -L/usr/local/opt/llvm/lib CSRSeq.c -o smpv
```

In the figure 1 you can see a sample output of the program for 8 iterations and 32 threads for CSROpenMP.c.

```
mahbodafarin1admin@Rajivs-MacBook-Air code % ./smpv matrix3.rtf 8 32
Loading the input matrix "matrix3.rtf"
Computing the results for 8 iterations (Parallel Version)...
Number of threads = 32
The execution time is: 15399.932861 us
```

Figure 1: Screenshot of the output

Table 1 shows the execution time and corresponding speedup for different number of threads for different matrices for CSR and CSR serial and parallel implementation. I did the multithreading optimization when the program wants to do the computation. For the matrix1, the multithreading makes the execution time worse than the serial version for both CSR and CSC because the input matrix is already so small and making it parallel add it some overheads for context switching between threads, communication because between threads, memory bound, and I/O bound. For the matrix2, the execution time is better for parallel version compared to the serial version of the program for both CSR and CSC because the input matrix is bigger and the benefits of parallel version is bigger than its overheads, but increasing the number of threads makes the execution time worse because the program does not have infinity potential of parallelism and when we reach that point, assigning more threads just add more overheads and does not help it to improve the parallelism. For the matrix3, we have a very good speedup because the size of matrix is bigger, so the potential of parallelism is better, but it has the problems of matrix2 which I already mentioned.

Table 1: Execution Time and speedup for sequential version and parallel version with different number of threads

Number of Threads	Run Time for CSR (Micro Seconds)			Run Time for CSC (Micro Seconds)			Speedup for CSR			Speedup for CSC		
	Matrix1	Matrix2	Matrix3	Matrix1	Matrix2	Matrix3	M1	M2	M3	M1	M2	M3
Sequential	458	5556	34099	1207	5021	30821	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	3240	3640	14219	2815	2861	17382	0.14	1.53	2.4	0.43	1.75	1.77
3	2547	3917	13473	2741	2584	16482	0.18	1.42	2.53	0.44	1.94	1.87
4	3064	3547	11920	2354	2386	17347	0.15	1.57	2.86	0.51	2.1	1.78
5	2835	3465	13518	2788	2751	17183	0.16	1.6	2.52	0.43	1.83	1.79
6	2830	3761	13568	2157	2779	15831	0.16	1.48	2.51	0.56	1.81	1.95
7	3309	4292	12591	2934	2912	17742	0.14	1.29	2.71	0.41	1.72	1.74
8	2547	3731	12907	2458	3591	16196	0.18	1.49	2.64	0.49	1.4	1.9
9	4881	3278	12211	3546	3416	15628	0.09	1.69	2.79	0.34	1.47	1.97
10	4277	3479	12985	2411	3785	17527	0.11	1.6	2.63	0.5	1.33	1.76
11	3411	3674	12747	2875	4121	14042	0.13	1.51	2.68	0.42	1.22	2.19
12	4300	3764	12332	3121	3261	15637	0.11	1.48	2.77	0.39	1.54	1.97
13	1175	4227	12748	3588	3659	14431	0.39	1.31	2.67	0.34	1.37	2.14
14	3331	3583	13545	3182	2581	13784	0.14	1.55	2.52	0.38	1.95	2.24
15	3574	5943	14178	3881	3410	15345	0.13	0.93	2.41	0.31	1.47	2.01
16	4352	7460	12665	3714	3541	16689	0.11	0.74	2.69	0.32	1.42	1.85
17	5023	3341	14588	3818	3861	12128	0.09	1.66	2.34	0.32	1.3	2.54
18	3587	6551	12752	3715	3517	14432	0.13	0.85	2.67	0.32	1.43	2.14
19	3248	5778	13741	3912	3841	1373	0.14	0.96	2.48	0.31	1.31	22.45
20	5707	6536	13027	4018	2914	1597	0.08	0.85	2.62	0.3	1.72	19.3
21	2042	4752	13301	4715	4031	13432	0.22	1.17	2.56	0.26	1.25	2.29
22	3675	4510	14060	4193	4218	14763	0.12	1.23	2.43	0.29	1.19	2.09

23	3548	4446	12789	4701	3821	15243	0.13	1.25	2.67	0.26	1.31	2.02
24	2271	3889	13041	4991	4817	13472	0.2	1.43	2.61	0.24	1.04	2.29
25	2155	3251	15869	4820	4681	12179	0.21	1.71	2.15	0.25	1.07	2.53
26	2109	4145	13085	4301	4871	15432	0.22	1.34	2.61	0.28	1.03	2
27	2146	4997	14906	4857	4512	13127	0.21	1.11	2.29	0.25	1.11	2.35
28	2346	4693	15407	5028	4871	12315	0.2	1.18	2.21	0.24	1.03	2.5
29	3685	4896	16379	4810	4211	14472	0.12	1.13	2.08	0.25	1.19	2.13
30	3805	4384	15037	4217	4723	13167	0.12	1.27	2.27	0.29	1.06	2.34
31	2341	4773	14489	4923	4871	12128	0.2	1.16	2.35	0.25	1.03	2.54
32	3447	4342	15363	4821	4578	15361	0.13	1.28	2.22	0.25	1.1	2.01